	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/602,546	HENSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Irene Marx	1651	
All Participants:	Status of Applica	tion:	
(1) <u>Irene Marx</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Sean Solberg</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 18 June 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.		. ,	
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
		• • • •	•
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN	ERAL NATURE OF WH	IAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
Counsel was informed that prior to allowance a new deposit de advisory action to this effect will be sent.	eclaration should be provid	ded including the term "irrevoo	cably". An
Part III.			
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	record of the cubetan	se of the interview since t	he intenziow
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ	the examiner will provide record of the substant	le a written summary of the ce of the interview, since t	e substance
	•		,
		•	
·	•.	· ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Represer	ntative Signature – if appro	priate)